Mr. SPEAKER.—It would be more inconvenient to send it to the members' residence because when the copies are sent to their places, the members will have left the place to come here. This pigeonhole system was not introduced by me. Who did it? (Laughter).

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—Sir, it has been there since a very long time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, I give a chance to Sri Kadidal Manjappa to make his statement.

Statement by Sri Kadidal Manjappa

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Sringeri).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, with your permission, I desire to make a statement to repudiate a false charge made against me by an Hon. Member of this House. On 10-3-64, during the Budget Debate, Sri B. J. Linge Gowda, commenting on my budget Speech, has made an insinuative suggestion that when I was a Revenue Minister in the Cabinet of Sri B. D. Jatti, I had visited Channapatna, with a view to induce the local Tahsildar to give a decision in a tenancy case in favour of a person or whom I had appeared as an advocate in the High Court of Mysore, some years ago.

Sri Linge Gowda is nursing a grievance against me on account of some incidents that took place in June, 1959. After I ceased to be a Minister in April 1957, I was practising as an Advocate for a few months before I was invited by Mr. B. D. Jatti to join his Cabinet, in May, 1958. During this period, I had filed a writ petition in the High Court. One day, during the pendency of that writ petition, Sri B. J. Linge Gowda had casually told me my client therein belonging to Channapatna, was an undesirable anti-Congress element and that I should not encourage such a person. I told him that as a Lawyer, I could not discriminate between persons on grounds of political affinity and that I was not interested in the squabbles of Channapatna Taluk. Subsequently, I was told that there was enmity between that client and Sri Linge Gowda. The Writ Petition filed by me was disposed of in May, 1958. A few days thereafter, I was appointed, once again, as a Minister. From 1952 upto June 1959, I had not heard Sri Linge Gowda complaining against me. On the other hand, he was often coming to me in connection with one representation or the other.

In the year 1959, the then representative of Channapatna, elected after defeating Sri B. J. Linge Gowda was also the leader of the opposition. In June 1959 the member for Channapatna requested me to undertake a tour in his Constituency. I agreed to go over there on 22-6-1959. At the request of the State Adult Literacy Council, I had also agreed to perform the opening ceremony of a Rural Library at Malur on that day and at the request of the member for Channapatna I had agreed to preside over a dramatic performance at the same place on the same date. Sri Linge Gowda who had come to know of my tour programme in Channapatna Taluk came to Bangalore and tried to prevail

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA)

upon me to cancel my tour programme, on the ground that the functions were got up by some anti-social elements who belonged to rival political party. He told me that I should not have drawn up the t ur programme without consulting him. I told him that no political significance could be attached to those functions and as an old-Congressman, I was equally interested in safeguarding the interests of the Congress Party. I reminded him that during the previous General Elections, I had gone to his taluk to solicit votes for him. When he began to put pressure on me to cancel the tour, I had to tell him that it was not proper for a Minister to cancel his programme after having accepted the engagements. I fianally told him that I would ascertain from the Deputy Commissioner and the office bearers of the Adult Literacy Council and find out whether anti-social elements had organised the functions as stated by him. Sri Linge Gowda went away, grumbling. Subsequently, I was informed by the Deputy Commissioner and others that there was no objection to my going to Malur in connection with those functions. Thereafter, I discussed with Sri B. D. Jatti, the then Chief Minister. He told me that Sri Linge Gowda had phoned to him and tried to persuade him to prevent me from going to Channapatna Taluk. He agreed with me that it was not proper for me to cancel the tour merely because Sri B. J. Linge Gowda was opposed to it.

On the morning of 22-6-1959, I received a phone call from Sri Gowda. He began talking to me in a threatening tone. Sri Gowda threatened me on phone that I would come to trouble if I did not cancel the programme. He also told me that my former client was already misusing my name with the officers in connection with some tenancy disputes and that I was going to Malur deliberately with a view to help him in the tenancy disputes. I was very much annoyed with his remarks. I, therefore, put down the receiver. After hearing those remarks of Sri Gowda, I thought I should write a letter to the Deputy Commissioner to make it clear that I was not interested in any party in the tenancy dispute. I was also anxious that nobody should misuse my name with officers. Therefore, before I left for Channapatna, I wrote a letter to the then Deputy Commissioner, Sri Shankar Rao, who is at present the Director of Anti-corruption and Efficiency Audit. A copy of the said letter is reproduced hereunder:—

KADIDAL MANJAPPA, B.A., LL.B., Bangalore;
Minister for Revenue. Dated June 22nd, 1959.

CONFIDENTIAL

My Dear Sri Shankara Rao,

Last year, before I joined the cabinet, I had argued one or two cases in the High Court on behalf of some persons in Channapatna Taluk. I am told there are connected proceedings pending before the Assistant

Commissioner or the Tahsildar. Some interested persons are spreading false propaganda to the effect that I am trying to influence the Tahsildar of Channapatna or the Assistant Commissioner of Ramanagaram Sub-Division, to decide the cases in favour of persons on whose behalf I had appeared as a lawyer. Please instruct the concerned officers to decide the cases strictly according to law, without being influenced either by this party or that party. I have told you already that there is too much faction both in Channapatna and Kanakapura Taluks. Officers should be instructed to be firm and impartial.

Yours sincerely,

KADIDAL MANJAPPA.

Sri Shankar Rao, I.A.S.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore.

Thereafter, I left for Channapatna with Sri C. J. Muckannappa, M.L.A., who wanted me to permit him to travel with me as he was going to attend a wedding in some village in Mandya District. When we reached Channapatna, I found the local officers and Sri B. J. Linge Gowda waiting for me at the Travellers' Bungalow. A few minutes after I arrived at the Travellers' Bungalow, Sri B. J. Linge Gowda, in a stern voice, questioned :

"With whose permission you have undertaken a tour in my Taluk ?"

"You are not the authority to question me," I replied.

"I am the Secretary of the Taluk Congress Committee", he retorted.

"I am not bound to obey your dictates in the matter of tours," I replied.

"Let me see how you will tour in my taluk," he said.

"I will show how I can tour in your taluk," I replied.

Thereafter, I asked him to go out of my room in the Travellers' Bungalow. He said that he had a right to stay in the room as it was a public place. The local officers and Sri Muckannappa were silent espctators of this conversation. He left the place.

After this incident, I left for Malur. Sri T. Made Gowda, M.L.A., presided over the opening ceremony of the Rural Library. M.L.A. from Channapatna was also present. After my return to Bangalore, I reported the entire matter to the then Chief Minister.

On 24th June 1959, I received a copy of a letter, which Sri B. J. Linge Gowda had written to the Chief Minister on 23rd June 1959. In that letter, he had made all sorts of wild allegations against me. I did not send him a reply because what I had received was only a copy. I was told subsequently that Sri Linge Gowda had managed to pass a

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA)

resolution in the Taluk Congress Committee in this connection and that he had sent a complaint to the Pradesh Congress Committee also. The Pradesh Congress Committee ignored his complaint.

On 6th March 1964, while I was speaking on the budget, I referred to the atrocious activities of some political touts. But, when I referred to their activities, I had neither any political party nor any individual in my mind. My references were meant to apply to all political touts and undesirable public leaders, irrespective of their party affiliation. I condemned them even when I was a Minister. I fail to understand why Sri B. J. Linge Gowda was very much agitated on account of my speech. I have reasons to suspect that he must have been promoted by political reasons in making a reckless allegation against me. Otherwise, there was no occasion for him to renew his false charge, after the lapse of four years and that too in the absence of a fresh cause of action. I have been a Legislator since 1941. Nobody had made such a charge against me. I had the unique privilege of being treated with all kindness and courtesy not only by the members of my party but also by the members of the Opposition, during all these years. Sir, I must tell the Hon'ble Member on this occasion, through you, that he does not gain anything by trying to injure my reputation, which I have assiduously built up and treasured during the last nearly three decades of my public life. Sri Linge Gowda has spoken as though the Congress Party had condemned me for sometime and that Sri B. D. Jatti, pitying me, had lifted me. I must inform Sri Gowda that on no occasion, I cringed for power. On all occasions, the Chief Ministers, in whose cabinets I had been appointed to serve, were kind enough to invite me, though I had not requested them to appoint me as a Minister. I am greatful to them. I must further add that even in the year 1962, the Congress High Command was keen that I should be appointed as a Minister. I am greatful to the High Command. But, at that time, I wrote to the High Command that I was not very anxious to become a Minister.

Let me not prolong my statement. I have been a Congressman since 1935. My antecedents are known to the people of the State. Sri B. J. Linge Gowda's are also known. The Hon'ble Members of this House and the people of the State have already assessed our worth. They will judge both of us.

I thank all the Hon'ble Members who sympathised with me. I know that many Hon'ble Members of this House were pained on account of the unwarranted false allegation made against me. Now that I have convinced the House that the allegations made are false, Sri Linge Gowda, in all fairness, must apologise not to me, but to the House.

I thank you, Speaker Sir, for giving me an opportunity to make this statement.

STATEMENT BY SRI B. J. LINGE GOWDA.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA (Channapatna).—Mr. Speaker, Sir.....

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—I wonder, where is the point in asking Sri B. J. Linge Gowda to reply. Then, Sri Kadidal Manjappa has to be given another chance to reply.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Under Rule 293, if any accusation is made, the Member can seek the permission of the Chair to explain his stand. Sri Kadidal Manjappa has done that. Is there any insinuation made by Sri Kadidal Manjappa to entitle Sri B. J. Linge Gowda to seek the permission of the Chair to have his stand explained That is my submission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The House will recollect that when this question was raised yesterday, I had already stated that if the statement to be made by the Hon'ble Member, Sri Kadidal Manjappa contained any accusation in. I would give a chance to Sri B. J. Linge Gowda. I am therefore giving him a chance.

Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—We are creating a new precedent here. When an allegation is made against a Member, you give permission for personnel explanation. When the explanation. is made and in that explanation when there is no insinuation, I do not think, under our rules, we could ask the original Member to say anything. This precedent will create unnecessary bickering and it will be practically allowing members to go on fighting or continue the fighting between those persons. This will be a bad precedent and I presume that it is not governed by any rules of procedure at all.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am grateful to the Members who have suggested something with the intention of seeing that the position does not worsen. That has been my endeavour. I am making the position very plain. Now the Hon'ble Members do not know what Sri B. J. Linge Gowda is going to say. One thing that is certain is that whatever Sri Kadidal Manjappa said on the last occasion and waatever Sri B. J. Linge Gowda said on the last occasion, is in the record and what Sri Kadidal Manjappa has said now is also on record. I have seen it. If we compare the two, there are two facts which are coming for the first time and which were not there. I had said that I would give a chance to the Member and so, I propose to give. All that I am doing is to comply with the rules and see that no further debatable material is inserted. I have spent hours, on this subjeet.

I have made a careful study. Are there not new ideas and new facets ? Should not the House know ? Am I not bound in fairness to every member to give him a chance to say what his version is ? That is why I said even yesterday that I would give him a chance only with regard to the new version and new facets that are brought in. Therefore, I have to hear him.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—In which case you will have to appoint an enquiry commission to go into this business. We do not know what Sri B. J. Linge Gowda has to say. Then would you give an opportunity to Sri Kadidal Manjappa to explain his point ? Will there be an end to this ?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Rule 293 is clear. No debate can ensue on this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As per my orders, a copy has been furnished to the other party and it is after that that I am giving them chances. I do not propose to appoint any committee of enquiry. Under what rule is the member suggesting ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Suppose Sri B. J. Linge Gowda proposes any new point. In fairness, do you allow Sri Kadidal Manjappa to rebut it ? There is a complaint, there is an objection, there is the statement, we have to summon witnesses ! Sir, we have to learn so many things. Please guide us on sound basis.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In English literature, I have read a book called 'IMAGINARY CONVERSATION' by Sir Walter Landor.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—It is not imaginary Sir. If Sri B. J. Linge Gowda reads this statement, will you give a chance to Sri Kadidal Manjappa ? He will rise from his seat and ask for permission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a question of futurity. Did the Member hear me say that I had already given a copy of the Statement of B. J. Linge Gowda to Sri Kadidal Manjappa before he got up on his legs ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Then you are going to record evidence. Please take the advice of my leader and close the matter. If you allow Sri B. J. Linge Gowda to create bikerings in the mind of Sri Kadidal Manjappa...

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know whether Rule 293 applies.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already told the members, and I have dealt with Rule 293 yesterday. Now it is suggested that Sri B. J. Linge Gowda's reading will take Sri Kadidal Manjappa by surprise. The hon'ble member was not present yesterday when I dealt with this point. I am not allowing any further debate on that.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—On a point of order, Sir. ಈಗ ಆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಜೈಪ್‌ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಅವರು ಓದುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ? ಇದನ್ನು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನು ಆಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

(Sri B. J. Linge Gowda began to read his statement.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದುವುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮನೆಯ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರನ್ನೇ ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಹಾಗಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಾವು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ರೂಲಿಂಗಿಗೆ ಅಡ್ಡಬರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಇಂಥ ಒಂದು ನಡವಳಿಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಆ ಕಾಮನ್ಸ್‌ಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್, ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಅಥವಾ ಡೆಲ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಾಗಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಇಂಥ ಒಂದು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯ ಇದೆಯೇ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯೇ ನಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೇ ? ಅಂಥಾದ್ದು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಕನ್‌ವೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಇದ್ದರೆ ತಾವು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ; ಅದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

This is a very strange procedure. It has not happened in the history of the Mysore Legislature. Please guide us, Sir. Please throw some light—not dust. Let not that ray of light pass through dust.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is he clouded in dust ?

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Yes, Sir, there is a lot of dust. Please guide me, because you have to train me ; you have to make me a very good parliamentarian. If you don't do it, then you will be blamed. They say, having been there for five years, he has not trained one good parliamentarian. If I do something wrong, then it is a reflection on you. If it is a new procedure please tell us that this is a new procedure. Let us show it to other legislatures. We will say that this is the model. We are a model State. That is all Sir.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member has taken it most easy—He says that I have to teach him and he has to learn. He has to study and after study, if he is incapable of understanding all that, and if he still wants any guidance from me, then he may come to me. As for this particular question, I will give him all the books that he wants. Let him study. If he is not able to understand, I will certainly give him all the guidance that he needs. I will give my ruling on this point. I will give it at the proper time. I am not asking any member to raise a point like this and hold up the work of this House.

SRI B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Hon'ble Speaker, Sir...

SRI S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—On a point of order, Sir. Is the Hon'ble Member right in reading a statement prepared by some legal adviser on the floor of this House ? He is reading what somebody has written for him. I know his capacity in English. That report is prepared by his legal adviser. Is he in order to read a statement prepared by some legal adviser on the floor of the House ?

1-30 P.M.

MR. SPEAKER.—Now if this is the way of raising all points of order, I really do not know if the members want that this is all to be done for the rest of the day; I have no objection.

SRI S. SIVAPPA.—Then everybody can prepare his speech and read it here. How can a member be allowed to read a written speech here?

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—Once before, when a member was looking at the script and making a speach the Chair asked the particular member if she was reading.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Is he raising a point of order?

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—I am substantiating.

MR. SPEAKER.—Point of order does not require any substantiation.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—If you permit, I will quote an early incident.....

MR. SPEAKER.—I will not allow it.

SRI V. M. DEO (Gubbi).—From the statement made by Sri Kadida Manjappa, it is a clear that in a way he has indicted himself for not taking action as a Minister when he had the authority to do so. After Sri Kadidal Manjappa has had his say, has the Hon'ble Member Sri B. J. Linge Gowda a right to make a lengthy statement? We all know

(SRI V. M. DEO)

the circumstances. The House has been apprised of the same. I would request the Chair to direct Sri Linge Gowda to cut it short and come to the point and be done with it. I ask you, on a point of order, as to whether you have done this and if not, please do it.

(Sri R. N. Lakshmipathi rose.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it a point of order?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎನ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪತಿ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಎರಡು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿವೆ. ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ನುಂತನಕರ್ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡುವಾಗ ಒಂದು ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯವನ್ನು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೂಡ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಲಾಯರ್ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಮೊದಲೇ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಬಿ. ಜಿ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ತಾವು ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I stoutly protest against the point of order. In between the Speaker and the member, nothing happened. A point of order must be definite.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will he withdraw it?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Yes.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Unconditionally?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—All right, unconditionally, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it an additional point of order?

Sri R. N. LAKSHMIPATHI.—It arises out of the argument of Sri Gopala Gowda?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Supporting a point of order is something different from raising a new point of order? Is it a new point of order?

Sri R. N. LAKSHMIPATHI.—I am supporting it and if you take it, it is also a new point of order. Let Sri Linge Gowda make a statement after you give the ruling so that we will not have this confusion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡನ್ನ.—ಕೌಂಟರ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇದು ಓದುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಇವರಿಗೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ನಾವು ಸಹ ಭಾಷಣವನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿ ಓದಬಹುದು ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು,

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let Sri Krishna mention the rule he is relying upon?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—I was trying to get the rule; but I distinctly remember, may I submit in all fairness, you have given a ruling before, that nothing can be read in the House. All that I wanted you to do is not to permit the member to read anything. If you are changing the ruling, you are welcome to do that.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—Yesterday, you were pleased to direct both the members to submit statements; I think you did it after hearing some of the Hon'ble Members. But the point that I want to make is this. Is there a scope for raising that issue again and again on the floor of this House and confuse the whole issue?

Mr. SPEAKER.—To a large extent I agree with him; I will explain. What is prevented is that while debating and participating in the debate on a motion before the House or on a demand in the budget, if a Member wants to deliver a speech, he cannot read a written speech because manuscript eloquence is not allowed nor is quoting from books and other things allowed. Here, there is no motion before the House. Here, no debate is taking place now and only an Hon'ble Member is making a statement. The House heard me. In order that the position may not be worsened, I called upon them to give a statement in writing, giving the details, the wordings and it was with their consent, as per my desire, and with the concurrence of the House, all that was done. I said that the statement to be made by the Hon'ble Member Sri Kadidal Manjappa should he given to me. I said that I would give a copy of that statement to Sri B. J. Linge Gowda I did it. I said that I would call upon him to give a copy. He has given it to me and that is being read. It is not a debate. Rules do not provide for it.

(Sri Gopala Gowda rose)

I am not permitting these endless points of orders. Therefore, what is now happening is not a debate. What is being read is a statement. A statement can very well be read. There is nothing in the rules to the effect that a statement cannot be read. What is said is that a speech in the course of the debate, on a motion before the House, cannot be read from a manuscript. Now, Sri Linge Gowda will proceed.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—You said "with the concurrence of the House". What is that?

Mr. SPEAKER.—These interruptions are not tolerable at all. Let him look into the proceedings. If his memory is short, and if he his ignorant, it does not mean he can disturb the House in this manner.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—If I have your permission...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not allow.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Hon'ble Mr. Speaker, Sir, with your kind permission, I beg to make a statement in reply to the lengthy statement made by Sri Kadidal Manjappa, Hon'ble Member of this House. I may at the outset, mention that I have nothing personal against the Hon'ble Member Sri Kadidal Manjappa and my remarks, made was in respect of his conduct as Minister.

(Sri Gopala Gowda interrupted and several members rose.)

Sri S. GOPAL GOWDA.—You want to give permission to such a statement and under our protest. Is that allowed.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let the Hon'ble Member kindly resume his seat.

Sri S. GOPAL GOWDA.—I cannot understand this type of things happening. I cannot be subdued in this manner.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will the Hon'ble Member comply with the rule ? There must be a limit to the comment.

(Sri Gopala Gowda was heard to say something indistinct)

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can he cow down anybody ? He wants to cow down the Chair. Let him be more careful If the Hon'ble Member makes a single more remarks, I will have to take action against him. There must be a limit to the criticism. The Hon'ble Member is not the only one in the House. If he is sensitive, he must take his sensitiveness with him. Does he think that this is not the House ? Does he not read the Rules ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I request that the attitude shown by you against our friend Sri Gopal Gowda is rather too strange ? Because Sri Linge Gowda was making certain allegations.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—When I called him to order, what was his duty ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—That apart. When you call us to order, we have to sit down. There is no question about it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Did he do it ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—He never complained against you.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Did he comply when I called him to order ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—He never complained against you. He was complaining against the substance of the allegations made by Sri Linge Gowda.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—He said " I am not going to be subdued like that" Am I conquering ? He made unwarranted remarks. Did he not say " I am not going to be subdued ". Did he say that or not ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I have not heard.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The whole House has heard.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—My contention is that when a member is making a statement.....

Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY.—He stood up for something else...

Mr. SPEAKER.—When I was on my legs and addressing the House, Sri Gopal Gowda said that he was not going to be subdued. Is that remark to be tolerated ?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I can assure the Chair that we are not going to take any insult to the Chair. That is certain.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not know whether that particular Member was feeling like that, as Sri Patil feels.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—When certain new allegations are being made, whether this House should bear with those allegations, is the point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After that is over, members must ask for my permission.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—That was the point raised by my friend Sri Gopal Gowda. He did not want to say anything against the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is to be done ? Either members must ask for my permission or they must ask the member who is on his legs to give him a chance or they must raise a point of order. On the other hand, they get up and go on interjecting.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—That is not proper. My submission is that when new points or controversies are being raised by Hon'ble Members, the question is whether this House is to put up with them. That is the main point which Sri Gopal Gowda wanted to bring to your notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the House is not going to put up, what is the procedure? Members must ask him to yield. There are other ways. But members simply go on interjecting and interrupting. There must be some procedure.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಮ್ಮನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In the History of Mysore, probably this is the first occasion, when an instance of this type is happening. I request you, Sir, I implore you, to be a little more smiling.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do smile in plenty. (laughter.)

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—We are here to help you and you are to help us. This is a new procedure. An instance of this type, we have not heard happening in any of the Legislatures. This must be a precedent also to other Legislatures. In order to establish that healthy precedent, we will be in a position through points of orders to throw more light on procedures. We request you to throw some light on this. In doing so, my friends on this side are trying to enlighten themselves on the procedure. When allegations are made, it naturally provokes anybody. An amicable settlement will not be brought about by the way the House is discussing the issue. That is what Sri Gopal Gowda wanted to bring to your notice. By allowing Sri Linge Gowda to reply, the purpose of settling the issues amicably will not be served. I will have to watch his statement further.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Since I expect a high degree of integrity in any Minister, and as the Hon'ble Hember made general criticism of the present trend in the party in power, I felt compelled to refer to certain incidents that took place when he was Minister.

2 Now, I would like to refer in detail to the incidents to which he has referred in his statement. At no time had I approached him, when he was practising as a Lawyer during the period April 1957 and May 1958, during the pendency of a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Mysore. It is untrue to say that there was enimity between his client and myself. As Shri Manjappa has stated, I had no reason to complain against him during the period 1952 to June 1959.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—He does not say even Mr. or Sri Kadidal Manjappa. He simply calls him 'Kadidal Manjappa'. What is this Sir? To what extent he has gone.....

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—I said 'Sri Manjappa .

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have got a copy of the statement. It is all right.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH (Mudigere).—I rise to a point of order. Rule (2) says that a member who is speaking shall not make a personal charge against a member. I also refer to rule 289 :

> "No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given previous intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of reply"

Now, Sri Linge Gowda has made an allegation against Sri Kadidal Manjappa with reference to something that he did when he was the Revenue Minister. That was the allegation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Either the Member was absent or was not attentive when I said about it. That is the very thing I said while Sri B. J. Linge Gowda was participating. I said that all this was unnecessary during the budget discussion.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—In fact, he should not have been allowed to make such an allegation unless he had given previous intimation. In the context of rule 293, Sri Kadidal Manjappa should have been given an opportunity to offer a personal explanation; that is all. Sri Linge Gowda should not have been allowed to reply. Is it proper to allow a reply statement in this context?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes, I will give a ruling.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Sir, unless a ruling is given before Sri Linge Gowda is allowed to make a statement, it will take us by surprise whether it is under Rule 293 or under the authority vested in the Speaker that he has permitted the member to make a statement. When no rule provides for anything, then the Speaker has got the discretionary power. This ruling is required to appreciate the statement.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yesterday itself this point was raised. Did the Hon'ble Member not say this yesterday?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Yes. I want to know under what rules, the member is permitted to make a statement in reply to a personal explanation by one member. In my opinion, Rule 293 does not apply. So, is it under the powers vested with the Speaker that such a thing is allowed, I want to know.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಯಾವನೇ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, ತನ್ನ ನಿಲುವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಂದ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇದೆ. ಆ ಒಂದು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಏನುಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇದೆಯೇ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Even when a Minister resigns and makes a statement, no permission can be given. But, can a member reply to a personal explanation? I have not found such a thing happening anywhere.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What I say is this. Yesterday, this identical point, which has now been raised by Sri Gopala Gowda, was discussed threadbare yesterday. I dealt with it fully and finally. I said that if

Sri Kadidal Manjappa has entitled to make a statement and if there was anything in that statement which cast a reflection upon another member, that member must be given a chance. It is not outside the scope of Rule 293. In that statement if there is something which is said against another member, that member is also entitled to invoke Rule 293 as anybody else. Therefore, in this particular case, in the statement read by the Hon'ble Member Sri Kadidal Manjappa, if there is anything casting reflection then that member is entitled even under Rule 293 to say. "This is something regarding which I must be given an opportunity to make my position clear." Apart from Rule 293, I said, that rules of debate required that the other members should have a chance. If this point is raised again and again, where is the end? Yesterday itself I said that so far as this point was concerned, a copy of each member's statement would be given to the other. Why should Members presume that Sri Kadidal Manjappa is going to rise and ask for another opportunity because something new is said by Sri Linge Gowda? It is to put an end to this unhappy matter, that I have taken infinite pains and there is nothing wrong in what has been done. That is my feeling.

(SRI B. J. LINGE GOWDA rose)

ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲ ಸಾಲೆನ್ನ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಭೆ ಒಪ್ಪುವಾಗ ಇವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ಉದ್ದವಾಗಿದ್ದೀತು ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಯಾರೂ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ನೋಡುವಾಗ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಘನತೆಗೆ ಕೂಡ ಸರಿಕಾಣುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಅದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಸಭೆಯ ಘನತೆಗೆ ಕುಂದಕ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಬೇಕೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎನ್ನುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೂಡ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮಗಾಗಲೀ ಜನತೆಗಾಗಲೀ ಏನಾದರೂ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವುಂಟೊ? ಯಾವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it a point of order?

Sri GOPALA SALENA.—Yes. Sir, It is a serious point of order. Does it help the public?

Mr. SPEAKER.—A serious point of order requires a serious ruling. The Hon'ble Member Sri B. J. Linge Gowda may continue.

2 P.M.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—(3) Sri Kadidal Manjappa has unnecessarily brought politics in his statement and has tried to glorify himself and to circumvent the same by all sorts of allegations. The reasons given by Sri Manjappa for touring in Channapatna Taluk on 22nd June 1959 are not correct. Sri Kadidal Manjappa's Personal Assistant sent me a copy of the tour programme of the Revenue Minister on 18th June 1959, and as per the tour programme, the Revenue Minister had to declare open the Primary Boys' School Building of Doddamallur village at 7-30 P.M. on 22nd June 1959. Since the opening ceremony of this School had already been conducted by the then local Inspector of Schools on 11th June 1959, I naturally felt that it was my duty to bring this fact to the notice of the Revenue Minister since it would look funny to open a

(SRI B. J. LINGE GOWDA)

School Building after it had been declared open long back and was functioning and I met Sri Kadidal Manjappa at Bangalore on 19th June 1959, and also showed him the Newspaper cutting 'Samyukta Karnataka' in which appeared the news of the opening of the School by the Inspector of Schools. In spite of these glaring facts, Sri Kadidal Manjappa was not prepared to rely on my statement and persisted in his own way. I suggested that, to get at the truth, he may once again consult both the Revenue and the Educational Departments. All this happened on 19th June 1959 at about 11-30 A.M. Praying that good counsel may prevail, I returned back to Channapatna the same day. Once again the same afternoon at about 3-00 P.M. I tried to contact the Revenue Minister but he was not available. His Personal Assistant who was available, told me that the local Inspector of Schools had performed only 'Saraswati Pooja' and the Building had never been declared open and that therefore the Minister would be going over to Channapatna on 22nd June 1959 to declare open the School Building. My persistent requests must have been an eye-opener to them. They found the truth at last. The Sponsors got up a different function which Sri Kadidal Manjappa fulfilled by opening a small Library at Doddamallur the same day and at the same time, besides attending a drama on their return journey from Mandya instead of opening the School Building as originally scheduled.

(4) I never prevailed on Sri Kadidal Manjappa to cancel his tour programme in Channapatna Taluk on 22nd June 1959, nor did I request the then Chief Minister, on the phone, to prevail upon his colleague to desist from touring Channapatna Taluk, on the ground that the function had been get up by the opposition parties and some anti-social elements.

* * *

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, in para 4, the last sentence is scored out in the copy given to me. But, the Hon'ble Member is reading it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He should not read that. I have scored it out. It will be expunged.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member, Sri B. J. Linge Gowda is mis using the direction given by the Chair. Do you tolerate this? What is scored out in the copy supplied, if he just ventures to read out and quote the whole affair, Sri Kadidal Manjappa immediately jumps up to say something or rebut it. I request the Chair once again to please put a stop to this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He should not read that sentence.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—

5. On the 22nd June 1959, at 4-00 P.M. the local Officers including the Tahsildar and other Revene Officials had assembled at the Travel'ers' Bungalow to meet the Revenue Minister. As was usual and customary, the Congress workers including myself were also present at the Travellers Bungalow. The Revenue Minister arrived at the T.B. at

* * * Expunged as ordered by Chair.

about 4-00 P.M. and immediately he got down from the Car, forgetting himself, started using abusive language against the people of Channapatna Taluk in general and against me in particular. Both the Revenue Minister and his Personal Assistant took the Tahsildar to task for having allowed the local Inspector of Schools to perform the opening ceremony of the Primary School Building. The Revenue Minister also continued to use abusive language against the people of our Taluk and against me.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—On a Point of Order, Sir.

Do you permit such things to be read in the House ? If this is going to continue, as a protest, we are going to walk-out.

(At this stage, the Opposition Members withdraw from the House).

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ನಾವು ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಬೇರೆ ಅರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All right.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Under Rule 288, no Member can make allegations against a Member, and Rule 293 would arise only when there is a breach of Rule 288. So, permitting a Member for a personal explanation, means permitting a person who causes a breach of that rule. Rule 293 never contemplates such a thing as to permit Sri Linge Gowda. That may kindly be looked into.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—I therefore, politely told him that we did not deserve such unkind treatment from him. To this, he suddenly flared up and used more harsh language.

6. Shortly after this incident, the then Tahsildar, who had not even completed one year of service was transferred and another gentleman was posted as Tahsildar and he took charge on 9th July 1959. This gentleman was also transfered and he had worked for about one year only.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Even that portion is scored out in my copy.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—On a Point of Order, Sir. When a Member makes a statement, whether it is scored out or not, it must go into the record.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have a right to expunge it.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member now making a statement, has committed the mistake twice by reading the struck out portions. I feel, the Hon'ble Speaker should not allow such things.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is that a point of order ?

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Sir, I would like to add that it is a misuse of the good offices of the Chair and no misuse of the good offices of the Chair should be permitted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am going to take action.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—You might take action. You might even expunge the whole thing from the records, but the mischief has been done. The Speaker was kind enough and sympathetic enough to permit a Member to rebut the charges made by Sri Kadidal Manjappa. But, if the good offices or the sympathy that the Speaker has, is misused, it is something contrary to the rules. The person making a statement is not worthy to have the approval of the Chair. All that should be expunged from the records. I seek instructions of the Chair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If Sri Linge Gowda reads, any portion which has been scored out by me, I am going to take action against him.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Such a thing has happened in my case. It should happen in this case also. Not only that it should be expunged, but he must apologize to the Chair. Please direct him to do so.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—I am prepared to take any punishment to be imposed on me by the Chair. Only the portion, that has been permitted by the Hon'ble Speaker is being read.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Please ask him to withdraw which will enhance the prestige of the House

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.

7. A perusal of the letter dated 22nd June 1959 purported to have been written by Sri Manjappa, to the then Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District makes interesting reading. It is also significant and supports my statement made earlier. In this letter Sri Manjappa has only mentioned that local officers should not yield to the pressure of either of the parties, whereas my specific complaint was that the then Revenue Minister tried to influence the then Tahsildar, Channapatna Taluk, for the disposal of a particular case, in favour of his client, for whom Sri Kadidal Manjappa, had appeared as a Lawyer in the High Court. By mere writing such a letter to the Deputy Commissioner the Minister cannot absolve himself of the charges made by me against him.

8. It is true that not words were exchanged between me and Sri Kadidal Manjappa on the 22nd June 1959 at the local Traveller's Bungalow. But Sri Kadidal Manjappa used very discurteous language to the people of Channapatna.....

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, he says he was discourteous. On the other hand, he was highly magnanimous. He even asked the police officers not to touch him. They wanted to arrest him. The Circle Inspector and the Dy. S. P. were there.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, He is reading scored out portions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What he has said has gone into the record. Now, if Sri Linge Gowda reads out any scored portion, I will take action against him.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—If I have read any portion not permitted by the Speaker, I am prepared to take any punishment, Sir.

But Sri Kadidal Manjappa used very discourteous language to the people of Channapatna Taluk in general and to me in particular and I had to swallow these insults silently as I did not desire to create an ugly scene. Therefore the details of conversation purported to have

been exchanged between us, as mentioned by Sri Manjappa, in his statement are not true. I had written a detailed letter to the then Chief Minister on 23rd June 1959, narrating the actual happenings and requesting to take such action as is necessary to maintain the fair name of the Ministry. A copy of this letter was also sent to Sri Kadidal Manjappa, with a covering letter. So, the statement that he did not send a reply because he had received only a copy is not convincing.

9. This attitude of Sri Kadidal Manjappa was condemned by the Congress Organisation,

[*Interruption*]

not only at the Taluk level but also even at the District level and the Pradesh Congress Committee had also taken appropriate action. Therefore it is not true to say that the M.P.C.C. had ignored our complaint.

10. I have to deny totally the statement of Sri Manjappa that he has reasons to suspect that I have been prompted by political reasons, etc. On the other hand, I watched his Budget speech on 6th March 1964; while speaking, he has referred to the atrocious activities of some political touts or undesirable leaders (as he puts it) and has created an impression that the Congress Government is lending support to such persons, etc. But my anxiety was to dispel such kind of *mala fide* propaganda on the floor of the Assembly by the Members of the Ruling Party, and to make the public feel that our Congress Leaders would not put up with such lapses by any one, however important he may be, there are many more instances which the Hon'ble Speaker has not permitted me to mention.

11. In conclusion, may I state that Sri Kadidal Manjappa was neither right nor fair in stating that I have deliberately attempted to make false allegations against him; whereas it could be seen from my statement that they were not mere allegations, but are actual facts.

I do not wish to elaborate my statement and I am grateful to you, Speaker Sir, for giving me this opportunity to place before this Hon'ble House my statement.

17TH MARCH 1964 (Sd.) B. J. LINGE GOWDA.

(*Interruption.*)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is he in the House or does he think that he is in the lounge or elsewhere ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಲಾಂಜು, ರೀಡಿಂಗ್ ರೂಂ ಗಿಂತಲೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕೆಟ್ಟು ಹೋಯಿತಲ್ಲಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Will he kindly repeat what he said ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಲಾಂಜು ರೀಡಿಂಗ್ ರೂಂಗಿಂತಲೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟು ಹೋಯಿತಲ್ಲಾ ಎಂದು ಅಂದೆನು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I ask the Member to withdraw what he has said.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—I withdraw, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Members want that for all the time of the House should be spent on this, let us have a good debate on this point itself. But let us do it in a systematic manner, in a methodical manner.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಸ್. ಮಾದಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ಅವರು ಟಿ. ಬಿ. ಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಮಾನ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದೆ.....ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Muckannappa is not entitled to make a statement. Knowing some of these things yesterday itself, I said: I will not allow anybody to make any statement, make a comment and yet the Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa said something which has gone into the record.

Hon'ble Members were finding fault with me that it was a unique procedure and that something extraordinary has been done. They suggested that the status of the House had not been properly looked after and that the Speaker was doing what he thought proper. And they wanted precedents. Why go on exciting ourselves over all these things? These things do not happen only here, they have happened elsewhere. They are going to happen any moment. What has happened in the past, is exactly what I have done. Would he like to know?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Why now, Sir? You have allowed him to read the statement,

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want every one of the members to acknowledge that what he has said against me is wrong. This is LOK SABHA Debates where allegations were made, counter allegations were made this is found in the debate on 16th December 1963.

(EXTRACT FROM LOK SABHA DEBATES—SIXTH SESSION) (P. 4894-95)

"**Smt. RENU CHAKRAVARTY.**—Then I want to know why the fact that Sri Kripalani is going to make a personal statement has come up on the Order Paper. It is a statement which he has already clarified on the floor of the House at that time when Shri Ansar Harnavi spoke (interruption). How does this come on the Order Paper today? That is another point which I would like to have clarification upon.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member has mixed up two things.

Sri PRABHAT KAR.—So far as the statement is concerned, I think we shall be supplied with copies.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Copies would be supplied. Now, the point is, it is for the executive Government and the Minister particularly to consider whether really he feels that there is something secret about the statement which he will make on the spur of the moment in the House. I was informed that it was a secret statement and that the contents are being treated secret. I was informed about that (interruption). Order, Order, it is for the Minister in charge to consider it. That has been done. It is quite in order. That ought not to be questioned.

* * * * (p. 4898).

Sri ANSAR HARVANI.—Sir, I have the greatest respect and admiration for Acharya Kripalini. I belong to that generation which was inspired by him and men like him to join the national movement and suffer and sacrifice for the freedom of our country. In fact, I am nearer to him that to many Members on my own side who had not joined the freedom struggle.

"Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Both the statements are now on record. If the Hon'ble Members want any further action to be taken, they are at liberty to make any motion to the House.

"Sri JAWAHARLAL NEHRU.—The Hon'ble Member opposite is much too excited. I do not know whom I am protecting and why I am protecting. I am merely saying that this matter has to be considered by the Speaker and whatever he suggests, he will place before the House and the House will determine what should be done, I cannot straightaway say anything.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—That is what I suggested earlier. Hon'ble Members will make a motion and the Speaker will certainly consider it."

This is with regard to any further action that might be necessary.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The incident to which reference was made, happened in the lounge.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Even if it happened in the lounge, it was directed to be taken up on the floor of the House. This particular incident happened not even in Bangalore city but elsewhere. I will give a copy of the proceedings, if any member wants to study and if there is anything he wants to know, I will be happy to hear him. I myself want to study and I will further study. Let other members study; let us come to correct conclusions, let us debate upon it any time when we have time.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಮಗೆ ಒಂದು ಅರ್ಧ ಗಂಟೆ ಬಿಡಿ, 12 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಕೊನೆಯ ಪಕ್ಷ 15 ನಿಮಿಷ ಬಿಡಿ. ಎರಡುವರೆ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಏಳೋಣ. ಸಭೆ 1 ಗಂಟೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೋಗಲಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How are you the members going to decide the rest of the work? We are going to finish the consideration of the Demand to-day.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—We are going to finish the Education Demand to-day by sitting till 6 P.M. or 6-30 P.M.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—We never expected that such a contingency would arise.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall we sit till 7 P.M.?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes Mr. Speaker. We will now adjourn and re-assemble at 3 P.M. and sit till 7 P.M.

The House adjourned at Twenty-six Minutes past Two of the Clock and reassembled at Five Minutes past Three of the Clock.